## ABBREVIATED PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

Che	cklist Preparer:	Konald King	1-66-6	96
		(Name/Title)	Date)	
		(Address) (F	Phone)	
ite :	Name:	(E-Mail Address) USCEC-Wyaconta WLR-	15403	
rev	ious Names (if any):			
ite 1	Location:	_60 € 1 <sup>st</sup> St,		
		(Street) Dubuque , FA 57 (City) (ST) (Zip)	<u>  [w</u>	
atit	ude:	Longitude:		
esc	ribe the release (or po	tential release) and its probable nature:	conda	
	<del></del> :	Brech: 1:0	20019	
		Brech: 1:0	4019 - 26	
		OAR.	20019	
	1 - Superfund Eligibi ll answers are "no" g	Other 1-26-2	YES	NO
If a	ll answers are "no" g	Other: 1-26-2		NO
	Il answers are "no" g	dity Evaluation o on to Part 2, otherwise proceed to Part 3.	YES	
<b>If a</b>	Is the site currently in  Is the site being addre  Are the hazardous sulpetroleum, natural gar	dity Evaluation o on to Part 2, otherwise proceed to Part 3.  CERCLIS or an "alias" of another site?	YES D	
<b>If a</b> 1. 2.	Is the site currently in  Is the site being addre  Are the hazardous sulpetroleum, natural garelease located in a w	dity Evaluation o on to Part 2, otherwise proceed to Part 3.  CERCLIS or an "alias" of another site?  essed by some other remedial program (Federal, State, or Tribal)?  bstances potentially released at the site regulated under a statutory exclusion (e.g., s, natural gas liquids, synthetic gas usable for fuel, normal application of fertilizer, vorkplace, naturally occurring, or regulated by the NRC, UMTRCA, or OSHA)?  bstances potentially released at the site excluded by policy considerations (i.e.,	YES D	
1. 2. 3.	Is the site currently in  Is the site being addre  Are the hazardous sulpetroleum, natural garelease located in a way.  Are the hazardous suldeferred to RCRA collist there sufficient documental or humshowing no release at	dity Evaluation o on to Part 2, otherwise proceed to Part 3.  CERCLIS or an "alias" of another site?  essed by some other remedial program (Federal, State, or Tribal)?  bstances potentially released at the site regulated under a statutory exclusion (e.g., s, natural gas liquids, synthetic gas usable for fuel, normal application of fertilizer, vorkplace, naturally occurring, or regulated by the NRC, UMTRCA, or OSHA)?  bstances potentially released at the site excluded by policy considerations (i.e.,	YES	

## Part 2 - Initial Site Evaluation

For Part 2, if information is not available to make a "yes" or "no" response, further investigation may be needed. In these cases, determine whether an APA is appropriate. Exhibit 1 parallels the questions in Part 2. Use Exhibit 1 to make decisions in Part 3.

If the answer is "no" to any of questions 1, 2, or 3, proceed directly to Part 3.			
1.	Does the site have a release or a potential to release?		
2.	Does the site have uncontained sources containing CERCLA eligible substances?		te
3.	Does the site have documented on-site, adjacent, or nearby targets?		
	he answers to questions 1, 2, and 3 above were all "yes" then answer the questions below before ceeding to Part 3.	YES	NO (
4.	Does documentation indicate that a target (e.g., drinking water wells, drinking surface water intakes, etc.) has been exposed to a hazardous substance released from the site?		کن ۔
5.	Is there an apparent release at the site with no documentation of exposed targets, but there are targets on site or immediately adjacent to the site?		
6.	Is there an apparent release and no documented on-site targets or targets immediately adjacent to the site, but there are nearby targets (e.g., targets within 1 mile)?		ġ
7.	Is there no indication of a hazardous substance release, and there are uncontained sources containing CERCLA hazardous substances, but there is a potential to release with targets present on site or in proximity to the site?	□ <u>:</u> 	1
Note	5:	·	
		<u> </u>	
·			
	<del> </del>	<u>.</u> .	<u> </u>
.——			
			<del>-,</del>
			<del></del>
	·		
	$\cdot$		

## EXHIBIT 1 SITE ASSESSMENT DECISION GUIDELINES FOR A SITE

Exhibit 1 identifies different types of site information and provides some possible recommendations for further site assessment activitions based on that information. You will use Exhibit 1 in determining the need for further action at the site, based on the answers to the questions in Part 2. Please use your professional judgement when evaluating a site. Your judgement may be different from the general recommendations for a site given below.

Suspected/Documented Site Conditions			APA	Full PA	PA/SI	SI
1.	There are no releases or potential to rele	Yes	No	No	No	
2.	No uncontained sources with CERCLA present on site.	Yes	No	No	No	
3.	There are no on-site, adjacent, or nearby	Yes	No	No	No	
4.	There is documentation indicating that a target (e.g., drinking water	Option 1: APA ➪ SI	Yes	No	No	Yes
	wells, drinking surface water intakes, etc.) has been exposed to a hazardous substance released from the site.	Option 2: PA/SI	No	No	Yes	NA
5.	There is an apparent release at the site with no documentation of exposed targets, but there are targets on site or immediately adjacent to the site.  Option 1: APA ⇔ SI  Option 2: PA/SI		Yes	No	No	Yes
			No	No	Yes	NA .
6.	There is an apparent release and no doc and no documented targets immediately there are nearby targets. Nearby targets located within 1 mile of the site and hav likelihood of exposure to a hazardous su the site.	No .	Yes	No	No	
7.	There is no indication of a hazardous su are uncontained sources containing CEF substances, but there is a potential to rel on site or in proximity to the site.	No	Yes	No	No:	

## Part 3 - EPA Site Assessment Decision

When completing Part 3, use Part 2 and Exhibit 1 to select the appropriate decision. For example, if the answer to question 1 in Part 2 was "no," then an APA may be performed and the "NFRAP" box below should be checked. Additionally, if the answer to question 4 in Part 2 is "yes," then you have two options (as indicated in Exhibit 1): Option 1 — conduct an APA and check the "Lower Priority SI" or "Higher Priority SI" box below; or Option 2 — proceed with a combined PA/SI assessment.

Che	ck the box that applies based on th	e conclu	usions of the APA:		
X	NFRAP		Refer to Removal Program - further site assessment needed		
也	Higher Priority SI		Refer to Removal Program - NFRAP		
	Lower Priority SI		Site is being addressed as part of another CERCLIS site		
	Defer to RCRA Subtitle C		☐ Other:		
	Defer to NRC				
Reg	ional EPA Reviewer:	(	Ran Kiron 1-2606		
	Print Nam	e/Signatur	re Date		

A desk-top review was conducted on the site file. The following is a summary of this review:

In 1987 a "Potential Hazardous Waste Site Identification" (EPA Form 2070-11 (7-81)) was filled out by EPA. This form was filled out to account for the RCRA storage unit (temporary) that was identified. As a result this site was placed into CERCLIS.

When it was determined that there was no further Superfund activity warranted at this site a "Final Strategy Determination Form", (EPA Form T2070-5 (10-79)) was completed. The determination on that form was 'needs no docket PA'.

To account for this activity a decision was made to prepare an Abbreviated Preliminary Assessment. The APA makes a smooth transition from the site being placed into CERCLIS and requiring a site assessment requirement.

NOTES: